PennDOT District 10 APA Perpetual Pavement Award Presentation

Asphalt Pavement Alliance & NAPA Initiatives Update



Michelle Kirk APA – NAPA Director, Alliances & Awards Joseph Shacat NAPA Director of Sustainable Pavements

Jean-Paul Fort NAPA Director of Pavement Engineering & Innovation Asphalt Pavement Alliance & NAPA Initiatives Update

APA Perpetual Pavement Awards

The Road Forward Initiative update

Training Opportunities





Perpetual Pavement Awards



AMERICA RIDES ON US

Asphalt Pavement Alliance

The APA is a partnership of National Asphalt Pavement Association, the Asphalt Institute, and the State Asphalt Pavement Associations.









AMERICA RIDES ON US



What is Perpetual Pavement?



(Newcomb et al, 2000)

Each layer is designed for a specific function:

- 1. Fatigue resistant bottom layer
- 2. Rut resistant intermediate layer
- **3.** Rut-Wear resistant and top-down cracking resistant surface layer.





Perpetual Pavement Awards Categories

1. By Performance

2. By Design

3. By Conversion



AMERICA RIDES ON US



Criteria:

• 35+ years old

 13+ years between overlays (average)

• No increase > 4"



AMERICA RIDES ON US



This is how beautiful a 91-year-old Perpetual Pavement looks!

Constructed in 1929 by ODOT, District 2

STATE ROUTE 199 (MM 6.79 TO MM 10.45) IN WOOD COUNTY



AMERICA RIDES ON US



Criteria:

Newly designed

Built over new subgrade
Meets Perpetual Pavement design



AMERICA RIDES ON US



PPA: By Design Winners



NAPA

AMERICA RIDES ON US



Criteria:

Newly designed

Built over existing subgrade

 Meets Perpetual Pavement design





PPA: By Conversion Winners





AMERICA RIDES ON US





NAPA

AMERICA RIDES ON US

2013

SR 29

2016

SR 73

2019

SR 956

2022

SR 210

Congratulations PennDOT, District 10-0





AMERICA RIDES ON US

Thank you, Pennsylvania!

Gold Club (50+ Years)

- Genco-Sellers/Gencor
- Volvo Construction Equipment
- Warden Asphalt Co.
- York Building Products Co. Inc.

30-Plus Club

- American Asphalt Paving Co.
- Infern-O-Therm Corp.
- Joseph McCormick Construction Co. Inc.
- Meeker Equipment Co. Inc.
- Riverside Materials Inc.
- Russell Standard
- Walter R. Earle Corp.

Members

- Abatech, Inc.
- ABE Materials Easton
- Allan Myers
- Architectural Stone
- Bechtelsville Asphalt
- Berks Products Corp.
- Bishop Brothers Construction
- Blaw-Knox
- Calvin C. Cole, Inc.
- CertainTeed by Saint-Gobain

- Charlestown Paving & Exc., Inc.
- Compliance Management International
- Conneaut Lake Asphalt Plant
- Coopersburg Materials
- Cumi Ameriaca Inc.
- Donegal Construction Corp.
- Dunmore Materials
- Eckley Asphalt
- Erie Asphalt Plant
- FORTA

- Glenn O. Hawbaker Inc.
- Golden Eagle Construction Co.
- Grannas Bros. Stone & Asphalt Co. Inc.
- H&K Group
- H&K Materials
- Harsco Environmental
- Heidelberg Materials East
- Heidelberg Materials Northeast Adamsburg



Thank you, Pennsylvania!

Members

- Heidelberg Materials Northeast Glen Mills
- Heidelberg Materials Northeast Lake Ariel
- Heidelberg Materials Northeast Latrobe
- Heidelberg Materials Northeast Penns Park
- Heidelberg Materials Northeast Springfield Pike
- Heidelberg Materials Northeast Stroudsburg
- Heidelberg Materials Northeast Washington
- Hillsville Asphalt Plant
- Homer city Asphalt Plant
- HRI Inc. East Region Muncy
- HRI Inc. West Region Johnstown
- HRI Inc. Corporate Office State College
- IA Construction Corp Franklin Region
- Keystone Lime
- Koppel Asphalt Plant
- Leeward Asphalt LLC
- Liberty Tire Recycling LLC
- Lindy Paving Inc.
- Locust Ridge Quarry
- Midland Asphalt Materials Inc. Clearfield

- Miller Materials LLC
- Multitherm LLC
- Nationwide Mechanical, LLC
- Neville Island Asphalt Plant
- New Kensington Asphalt Plant
- Northeast Paving, a Div. of Eurovia Atlantic Coast
- Partatherm a division of Lubrizol
- Pennsy Supply
- Pennsy Supply Inc., Central Region A CRH Co.
- Pennsy Supply North Region, A CRH Co.
- Peter J. Caruso & Sons
- Pikes Creek Asphalt & Crushed Stone
- Pine Test Equipment, Inc.
- Pottstown Trap Rock Sanatoga Quarry/Asphalt
- Quaker Sales Corp.
- Schlouch Inc.
- Second Avenue Asphalt Plant
- Silver Hill Quarry
- South Reading Blacktop
- Sterrettania Asphalt Plant
- Superior Tire & Rubber Corp.



Thank you, Pennsylvania!

Members

- United Employment Associates LLC
- Wheatland Asphalt Plant
- Wheelertown Asphalt Plant
- Wilkes-Barre Materials LLC
- Windsor Service
- Zelienople Asphalt Plant

State Advisor

Owen McCormick, Joseph McCormick Construction Co.

For more information: asphaltpavement.org/climate

The Road EOCOMPANY OF THE ROAD OF THE ROAD

A Vision for Net Zero Carbon Emissions for the Asphalt Pavement Industry

Our Strategy towards carboneutrality







THE "ROAD FORWARD" PARTNERS





The Rapidly Changing Policy Environment



What is an EPD?

Environmental Product Declaration

- Quantify the environmental impact of a product
- EPDS are based on industry LCA & Product Category Rules (PCR):
 - 1. Plant & Mix Design Specific
 - 2. "Cradle to Gate"
 - 3. To be comparable Products shall fulfill the same function* AND the same specification.
- Independently verified





Er brittennion Euser	
Your Building Product	
Amount per Unif	
LCA IMACT MEASURES	TOTAL
Primary Energy (MJ)	12.4
Global Warming Potential (kg CO ² eq)	0,96
Ozone Depletion (kg CFC·11 eq)	1.80E-08
Acidification Potential (moi H ⁺ eq)	0.93
Eutrophication Potential (kg N eq)	6.43E-04
Photo-Oxidant Greation Potential (kg 03 eg)	0,121

https://westcoastclimateforum.com/cfpt/concrete/strategy1

*Source : ISO 14025:2006. The EPDs of different product categories should NOT be compared to each other.

What is an EPD?



State Buy Clean Policies

Initiatives by States to integrate EPDs into their procurement process

- Companies submit EPDs to the agency
- Agency develops global warming potential (GWP) limits for each mix type

Policy options:

- Information only (collection and analysis of EPDs)
- GHG Limits (go/no-go / prequalification criteria)
- Incentives (when Low Carbon materials are cheaper)
- Differential cost (when Low Carbon materials are more expensive)

2017: California 2021: Colorado 2022: Oregon 2023: Minnesota

State Buy Clean Programs for Asphalt



- Décrets: New-York & Delaware
- Autorité portuaire NY & NJ
- Pennsylvania, Maryland
- en discussion: Illinois, Washington State

Current Ongoing Programs

- 39 States participate in one or more of the following programs:
- State Buy Clean Programs
- Federal-State Buy Clean Partnerships
- U.S. Climate Alliance
- EDC-7, EPDs for sustainable projects
- FHWA Climate Challenge



Powered by Bing GeoNames, Microsoft, TomTom





Inflation Reduction Act

EPA

- \$250 million to standardize EPDs and help industry develop EPDs
- \$100 million to develop "low-embodied carbon construction material labeling program"

Low Carbon Construction Material Procurement

- FHWA \$2 billion in grant funding to state, local, and federal agencies
- **GSA** \$2.15 billion for federal building projects
- FEMA Grants can include additional costs for low carbon materials





Inflation Reduction Act

EPA Interim Determination of

Substantially Lower Embodied Carbon

- Best performing 20% of similar materials/products
 - If not available locally, then best performing 40%
 - If not available locally, then better than estimated industry average
 - GSA and FHWA will define these thresholds based on published EPDs
- Also, report ENERGY STAR Energy Performance Score (currently under development for asphalt plants)

https://www.epa.gov/inflation-reduction-act/inflation-reduction-act-programs-fight-climate-change-reducing-embodied





Interim requirements for materials with a low carbon footprint

January-May 2023: Federal General Services publishes its provisional specifications:

Federal Office Buildings, Courthouses and Land Ports of Entry

GSA IRA Limits for

Low Embodied Carbon Asphalt - May 16, 2023

(EPD-Reported GWPs, in kilograms of carbon dioxide equivalent per metric ton - kgCO2e/ t)

Top 20% Limit	Top 40% Limit	Better Than Average Limit
55.4	64.8	72.6

• The same limits apply to all types of asphalt mixes, throughout the country.

https://www.gsa.gov/about-us/newsroom/news-releases/gsa-pilots-buy-clean-inflation-reduction-act-requirements-for-low-embodiedcarbon-construction-materials-05162023



Deployment of EPDs ongoing actions



EPDs published as of January 2024

• 255 Plants, 1,922 EPDs in 41 states.





National Asphalt Pavement Association | AsphaltPavement.org

Actions in progress:

Implementation of the Federal IRA Program, the GSA program, soon the FHWA program, and the "Buy Clean" laws of the various states.

Ongoing benchmarking to define realistic and achievable GHG emission limits, by region and by mix type:

GHG emissions are strongly impacted by mix type and by local conditions
 Example: Average GHG emissions in Florida: 85.9 kg CO2 eq/ton (US average: 66.9 kg)

\rightarrow Complete the missing input data:

 Beyond the basket of 4 types of bitumen and additives (SBS, GTR, additives,...) AC: ~ 50% of carbon emissions of asphalt mixtures

→ Development of LCAs for each stage of the road life cycle.



Benchmarking Initiative



NAPA EPD Benchmarking Initiative

Asphalt EPD Benchmarking Status (Number of Companies) January 4, 2024



January 4, 2024

Asphalt EPD Benchmarking Status (Number of Plants)

As of 01/04/24:

• 373 Asphalt Plants

³⁷ • 137 Companies

 25 States with at least 3 Companies reporting

No cost to participate

- Will be used by agencies to develop industry averages for FHWA's \$2B Low-Carbon Materials Grants Program, using local conditions and key parameters in their specifications
- Will also be used to develop the ENERGY STAR Energy Performance Indicator tool for Asphalt Plants

Benchmarking data collection November 6 – March 15



How to Participate?

Go to the Emerald Eco-Label Registration Process page:

asphaltpavement.org/programs/napa-programs/emerald-eco-label/registration-process

- 1. Watch the recorded training webinar: **Building an Industry Average for EPDs**
- 2. Compile benchmarking data Use the benchmarking worksheet in the EPD Data Gathering Spreadsheet v5
- 3. If you are not an existing user Create your Organization(s) and Plant(s) in the software
- 4. Enter your operational and benchmarking data for each asphalt plant
- 5. Submit data for benchmarking



Inflation Reduction Act (IRA) Benchmarking

	Reclaimed Asphalt Pavement (RAP)		
%	Average RAP Content (%)		
tons	Total RAP (short tons)		
	Aggregates		
	Most Used Quarry/Pit		
tons	Approximate Quantity Purchased From This Source		
miles	Truck Distance		
miles	Train Distance		
miles	Barge Distance		
miles	Ocean Distance		
	Second Most Used Quarry/Pit		
tons	Approximate Quantity Purchased From This Source		
miles	Truck Distance		
miles	Train Distance		
miles	Barge Distance		
miles	Ocean Distance		
	Asphalt Binder		
miles	Truck Distance		
miles	Train Distance		
miles	Barge Distance		
miles	Ocean Distance		

Data Entries

Energy Performance Indicator (EPI) Benchmarking

	EPI Plant Information
	Plant Type
tons/hr	Manufacturer's Rated Capacity
ft	Drum Diameter
	Production Details
hours	Total Operating Hours
no. of months	Production Months per Year
days/week	Production Days per Week
hours/day	Production Hours per Day
tons	Polymer or Rubber Modified Mix Produced.
	Electricity Metering
	Natural Gas Metering



How can we reduce emissions? (and save money)



CO₂e emissions Distribution

		kgCO2e/ton
Materials (A1)	5% AC	28.7
	95% Aggregates	1.7
Transport (A2)	22 miles by truck	3.8
	Burner (Natural Gas)	15.8
Plant Operations	Binder Storage	2.3
(A3)	Electric Motors	1.8
	Loader	0.5



The bulk of emissions are generated by:

- 1. A1 (56%) and especially AC (53%)
- 2. Burner ~ 30% (A3)

Next:

- Transport (A2)
- Factors related to plant efficiency (A3)



- 1. A1: Increase the % of recycled products
- 2. A3: T° production, Materials moisture
- 3. A2: Transport











+ 1% RAP : ~ - 0.32 kg CO₂e / tonne + 1% RAP : ~ - \$0.30 / tonne

Credit: David Allain ACAF



RAP% Evolution US (NAPA IS-138)





National Asphalt Pavement Association | AsphaltPavement.org

What are the barriers to high RAP (> 25-30%)?

2023: NAPA Surveys of DOTs and Contractors

DOTs

- Concerns about performance (cracking, raveling, etc.)
- Impact of variability
- Plants Capacity
- Availability of soft binders
- RAP Availability

Contractors

- Specifications
- RAP Availability
- Plants Capacity
- Impact of variability

3 Top Performers Specifications NC: 31.8%, GA: 29.4%, FL: 29.2%

- Specs Limits: 40% to 45% or none.
- Variability control: fractionation or % RAP allowed function of variability.
- New binder control:
 - Use of RBR (Recycled Binder Rate)
 - o COAC or use RAP Gsb
- Contract provisions:
 - o Binder paid separately.
 - Rebate on savings (NE)



- Reduce Production Temperature A3: - Controlling Material Moisture



A3: Production Temperature Reduction



- 1°F ~ - 1 kBtu / tonne

- $1^{\circ}F^{\sim}$ - 0.064 kg CO₂e / tonne

- 1°F ~ -\$0.002 → - \$0.020/ tonne



A3: Production Temperature Reduction

2021: 41% of US total mix production uses Warm Technology, ~50% for compaction aid, with no T° reduction

NAPA Survey: 69% of states use WMA technologies.

WMA technologies market share (NAPA IS-138)





A3: Production Temperature Reduction

\rightarrow 2023: NAPA Surveys of DOTs and Contractors

What are the barriers to reducing the production temperature??

DOTs':

- Low production temperatures can affect the density in place, especially in cold weather or long hauls.
- Incomplete drying and residual moisture in the mix can affect the performance.
- Need more guidance on specifying low temperature production as well as product certification procedures.
- It's the choice of companies

Contractors:

• Specifications

- Poor "Perception" of workability, compactability and quality
- Maintain RAP content
- Cost



A3: Materials moisture control

- 1. Each Lb. of water requires about 1 kBtu to vaporized
- 2. Materials need to be dried to be heated.
- 3. Each Lb. of water generates 30 cft of steam (x 1700)



~ 50% of the energy used in drying +1% $H_20 = +11\%$ Energy - 11% Production

-1% H₂O ~ - 30 to - 40 kBtus / tonne

- 1% $H_2O \sim -$ 1.60 kg CO_2e / tonne

- 1 % $H_2O \sim -$ \$0.10 \rightarrow - \$0.30/ tonne



What strategy to minimize the carbon footprint of our production? (and maximize our profitability?)



Strategy to minimize the carbon footprint of our production

- 1. Assessing the impact on the carbon footprint (A1-A3),
- 2. Ensuring the performance of pavements,
- 3. At competitive costs.

	Unit impact per tonne of asphalt mix	CO ₂ e / ton	Energy	/ ton	\$ / ton
A3	Materials Moisture (%)	~ - 1.60 kg	~ - 35	kBtus	~ - \$0.10 to - \$0.30
A1	Recycled asphalt (%)	~ - 0.32 kg			~ - \$0.30
A3	Production Temperature (°F)	~ - 0.06 kg	~-1	Btu	~ - \$0.002 to - \$0.02
1. 0	Controlling materials moisture: 2	. Increasing Recycled Asp	ohalt %	3. produc	tion T° reduction
• 1	mmediate profitability (\$\$)	Immediate high profitabil	ity (\$\$\$)	Profital	oility (\$)
•	Highest impact on CO2e reduction	 Strong impact on CO2e reduction Contributes to CO2e reduction 		outes to CO2e reduction	
•	Positive impact on Performance	Controlled Performance (BMD)	Reducti	ion of emission & ageing
•	Facilitates RAP use and Production T° Reduction			\rightarrow	Adjustment variable



Formulate High RAP Mixes while lowering production temperature





Training Opportunities



Training Opportunities driveasphalt.org/events/training-opportunities

APA:	driveasphalt.org/events/webinars		
NAPA:	NAPA: asphaltpavement.org/bmd		
	asphaltpavement.org/programs/napa-webinars		
Asphalt Institute:	asphaltinstitute.org/training/webinars/		
NCAT:	eng.auburn.edu/research/centers/ncat/education/tiyp.html		
ΑΑΡΤ	asphalttechnology.org/		





https://heynapa.com/



National Asphalt Pavement Association | AsphaltPavement.org

Interested in learning more?

Please contact:

Joseph Shacat: jshacat@asphaltpavement.org

Jean-Paul Fort: jfort@asphaltpavement.org

Thank You!



AsphaltPavement.org/Forv_{B3}rd